



UNIVERSITY OF STRASBOURG

Tutorial II

J. Polonyi, M. Dufour, S. Whitlock

Transcribed by
PIERRE GUICHARD

M1-S1 2022

Reminder

On considère un hamiltonien $H = H_0 + W$ indépendant du temps et on suppose que l'on connaît les états stationnaires de H_0 . On suppose aussi que le spectre de H_0 est purement discret et connu.

On note

$$H_0 |\psi_{0n}^i\rangle = E_{0n} |\psi_{0n}^i\rangle, \quad (1)$$

où le i est l'indice de dégénérescence, $i \in \{1, \dots, g_n\}$, 0 est l'indice qui rappelle que ce sont les kets propre de H_0 et n est l'indice de la valeur propre.

On a la relation de fermeture

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{g_n} |\psi_{0n}^i\rangle \langle \psi_{0n}^i| = 1 \quad (2)$$

On suppose que les énergies associées à W sont "petites" par rapport à celles associées à H_0 . W correspond à la perturbation et est un opérateur hermitique. On ne connaît pas le spectre de $H = H_0 + W$ et on cherche à l'approximer. Pour cela, on pose : $W = \lambda H_1$, où λ est un paramètre réel. λ est supposé "petit" et H_1 possède des énergies du même ordre de grandeur que H_0 .

Au final, le résultat ne doit pas dépendre de λ . On cherche les solutions approchées de l'équation de Schrödinger Stationnaire :

$$(H_0 + \lambda H_1) |\psi\rangle = E |\psi\rangle \quad (3)$$

Avec les conditions,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E = E_0, \text{ où } E_0 \text{ est l'une des valeurs propre de } H_0 \\ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\psi\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle, \text{ où } |\psi_0\rangle \text{ correspond à } E_0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Désormais on développe le ket propre $|\psi\rangle$ sur la forme d'une série perturbative,

$$|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle + \lambda |1\rangle + \lambda^2 |2\rangle + \dots = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n |n\rangle \quad (5)$$

où les kets $|n\rangle$ sont à déterminer. On applique la même démarche pour l'énergie E ,

$$E = E_0 + \lambda E_1 + \lambda^2 E_2 + \dots = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n E_n \quad (6)$$

où les E_n doivent être calculées.

Attention : $|n\rangle$ et E_n sont les corrections perturbatives à calculer. A priori,

$$|n\rangle \neq |\psi_{0n}^i\rangle \quad E_n \neq E_{0n}$$

De l'équation (3), on peut écrire

$$(H_0 + \lambda H_1)(|0\rangle + \lambda |1\rangle + \lambda^2 |2\rangle + \dots) = (E_0 + \lambda E_1 + \lambda^2 E_2 + \dots)(|0\rangle + \lambda |1\rangle + \lambda^2 |2\rangle + \dots) \quad (7)$$

Et ainsi, en développant et en regroupant les termes en puissance de λ , on obtient

$$\text{à l'ordre 0,} \quad H_0 |0\rangle = E_0 |0\rangle \quad (8)$$

$$\text{à l'ordre 1,} \quad H_0 |1\rangle + H_1 |0\rangle = E_0 |1\rangle + E_1 |0\rangle \quad (9)$$

$$\text{à l'ordre 2,} \quad H_0 |2\rangle + H_1 |1\rangle = E_0 |2\rangle + E_1 |1\rangle + E_2 |0\rangle \quad (10)$$

Par ailleurs, $|\psi\rangle$ doit être normé pour obéir aux postulats de la Mécanique Quantique. On obtient donc la relation

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1 = \left(\sum_n \lambda^n \langle n | \right) \left(\sum_{n'} \lambda^{n'} |n'\rangle \right) \quad (11)$$

En regroupant les puissance de λ , on obtient

$$\begin{cases} \langle 0|0\rangle = 1 \\ \langle 0|1\rangle + \langle 1|0\rangle = 0 \\ \langle 0|2\rangle + \langle 1|1\rangle + \langle 2|0\rangle = 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Comme ces conditions ne suffisent pas à préciser la solution, on rajoute

$$\langle 0|i\rangle \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall i \quad (13)$$

C'est une condition de phase très pratique. On peut alors en déduire,

$$\begin{cases} \langle 0|1\rangle = 0 \\ \langle 0|2\rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle 1|1\rangle \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

En effet, d'après (12),

$$\langle 0|1\rangle = -\langle 1|0\rangle = -\langle 0|1\rangle^* \stackrel{(13)}{=} -\langle 0|1\rangle \quad (15)$$

Donc, $\langle 0|1\rangle = 0$.

Il est maintenant nécessaire de préciser si l'état perturbé est généré ou pas.

Perturbation d'un état de H_0 non dégénéré

Énergie au premier ordre de perturbation

On étudie la perturbation de l'état $|\psi_{0n}^{g_n}\rangle$, vérifiant $H_0 |\psi_{0n}^{g_n}\rangle = E_{0n} |\psi_{0n}^{g_n}\rangle$. Comme l'état est non dégénéré, $g_n = 1$ et on supprime l'indice :

$$|\psi_{0n}^{g_n}\rangle \longrightarrow |\psi_{0n}\rangle$$

On cherche $|\psi_n\rangle$ et E_n , vérifiant $H|\psi_n\rangle = E_n|\psi_n\rangle$ en utilisant la méthode vue précédemment. On note les série perturbatives

$$\begin{cases} |\psi_n\rangle = |0n\rangle + \lambda|1n\rangle + \lambda^2|2n\rangle + \dots \\ E_n = E_{0n} + \lambda E_{1n} + \lambda^2 E_{2n} + \dots \end{cases}$$

A noter : on garde l'indice n pour se souvenir que $|\psi_n\rangle$ et E_n viennent de $|\psi_{0n}\rangle$ et E_{0n} où n peut-être associé à un nombre quantique.

Tout ce qui a été dit précédemment reste vrai et on a

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\psi_n\rangle = |0n\rangle = |\psi_{0n}\rangle \text{ il n'y a pas d'ambiguïté ici car la valeur propre n'est pas dégénéré} \\ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E_n = E_{0n} \end{cases}$$

Avec ces notations, la relation (9) devient

$$H_0|1n\rangle + H_1|\psi_{0n}\rangle = E_{0n}|1n\rangle + E_{1n}|\psi_{0n}\rangle$$

En prenant le produit scalaire avec $\langle\psi_{0n}|$, on obtient

$$\langle\psi_{0n}|H_0|1n\rangle + \langle\psi_{0n}|H_1|\psi_{0n}\rangle = E_{0n}\langle\psi_{0n}|1n\rangle + E_{1n}\langle\psi_{0n}|\psi_{0n}\rangle$$

Simplification :

$$\langle\psi_{0n}|H_0|1n\rangle = E_{0n}\langle\psi_{0n}|1n\rangle$$

Car,

$$H_0|\psi_{0n}\rangle = E_{0n}|\psi_{0n}\rangle$$

On obtient au final,

$$E_{1n} = \langle\psi_{0n}|H_1|\psi_{0n}\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= E_{0n} + \lambda \langle\psi_{0n}|H_1|\psi_{0n}\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \\ &= E_{0n} + \langle\psi_{0n}|\lambda H_1|\psi_{0n}\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \\ &= E_{0n} + \langle\psi_{0n}|W|\psi_{0n}\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \end{aligned}$$

On trouve ainsi la correction de l'énergie au premier ordre.

Etat propre au premier ordre pour un état non dégénéré

On cherche $|1n\rangle$ tel que

$$|\psi_n\rangle = |\psi_{0n}\rangle + \lambda |1n\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$$

On se sert de la relation de fermeture de la base de H_0 , $\{|\psi_{0p}^i\rangle\}$,

$$\sum_p \sum_{i=1}^{g_p} |\psi_{0p}^i\rangle \langle \psi_{0p}^i| = 1,$$

pour écrire

$$|1n\rangle = \sum_p \sum_{i=1}^{g_p} |\psi_{0p}^i\rangle \langle \psi_{0p}^i|1n\rangle,$$

où les inconnues sont $\langle \psi_{0p}^i|1n\rangle$. On se sert de l'équation (9) que l'on projette sur $\langle \psi_{0p}^i|$ pour $n \neq p$,

$$\langle \psi_{0p}^i| H_0 |1n\rangle + \langle \psi_{0p}^i| H_1 |\psi_{0n}\rangle = E_{0n} \langle \psi_{0p}^i|1n\rangle + E_1 \langle \psi_{0p}^i|\psi_{0n}\rangle$$

Ce qu'on peut réécrire,

$$E_{0p} \langle \psi_{0p}^i|1n\rangle + \langle \psi_{0p}^i| H_1 |\psi_{0n}\rangle = E_{0n} \langle \psi_{0p}^i|1n\rangle + \cancel{E_1 \langle \psi_{0p}^i|\psi_{0n}\rangle}$$

Simplification :

$$\begin{cases} H_0 |\psi_{0p}^i\rangle = E_{0p} |\psi_{0p}^i\rangle \\ H_0 |\psi_{0n}\rangle = E_{0n} |\psi_{0n}\rangle \end{cases} \implies \langle \psi_{0p}^i|\psi_{0n}\rangle = 0$$

Car $p \neq n$.

On a donc,

$$\langle \psi_{0p}^i|1n\rangle = \frac{\langle \psi_{0p}^i| H_1 |\psi_{0n}\rangle}{(E_{0n} - E_{0p})} \quad (p \neq n)$$

Pour $p = n$, on calcul $\langle \psi_{0n}|1n\rangle$ à partir de la relation (14) : $\langle 0|1\rangle = 0$. On a donc

$$\langle \psi_{0n}|1n\rangle = 0$$

On en déduit au premier ordre le ket $|\psi_n\rangle$,

$$|1n\rangle = \sum_{p \neq n} \sum_{i=1}^{g_p} |\psi_{0p}^i\rangle \frac{\langle \psi_{0p}^i| H_1 |\psi_{0n}\rangle}{(E_{0n} - E_{0p})}$$

D'où pour l'état résultat au premier ordre,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_n\rangle &= |\psi_{0n}\rangle + \lambda |1n\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \\ &= |\psi_{0n}\rangle + \sum_{p \neq n} \sum_{i=1}^{g_p} |\psi_{0p}^i\rangle \frac{\langle \psi_{0p}^i | H_1 | \psi_{0n}\rangle}{(E_{0n} - E_{0p})} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \end{aligned}$$

Pour la "petitesse", un critère à vérifier peut-être $|\langle \psi_{0p}^i | W | \psi_{0n}\rangle| \ll |E_{0n} - E_{0p}|$

Perturbation d'un état de H_0 dégénéré

Énergie au premier ordre de perturbation

Si E_{0n} st dégénérée, il existe plusieurs état $|\psi_{0n}^i\rangle$ qui lui correspondent puisque $i = \{1, \dots, g_n\}$. Cependant les valeurs propre de $H = H_0 + W$ peuvent être ou ne pas être dégénérée. Maintenant, il faut traiter la $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\psi_n\rangle$ car à priori on retourne dans l'espace de dégénérescence de E_{0n} de dimension g_n .

On peut écrire $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\psi_n\rangle = |\varphi_{0n}\rangle$ avec $|\varphi_{0n}\rangle$ égale à une combinaison linéaire des $|\psi_{0n}^i\rangle$. C'est-à-dire,

$$|\varphi_{0n}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{g_n} |\psi_{0n}^j\rangle \langle \psi_{0n}^j | \varphi_{0n}\rangle$$

Remarque : Nous avons

$$\langle \psi_{0n}^j | \varphi_{0n}\rangle = 0$$

si $p \neq n$ puisque $E_{0p} \neq E_{0n}$, les deux vecteurs sont orthogonaux lorsque les valeurs propres son différentes.

Le point de départ est toujours

$$\begin{cases} E_n = E_0 + \lambda E_1 + \lambda^2 E_2 + \dots \\ |\psi_n\rangle = |0n\rangle + \lambda |1n\rangle + \dots \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E_n = E_{0n} \\ \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} |\psi_n\rangle = |\varphi_{0n}\rangle \end{cases}$$

On se sert maintenant de la relation (9) avec les notations adaptées. On rajoute l'indice n pour bien marquer que c'est un état d'énergie E_{0n} qui est perturbé. On a

$$H_0 |1n\rangle + H_1 |0n\rangle = E_{0n} |1n\rangle + E_{1n} |0n\rangle$$

d'après (9) comme $|0n\rangle = |\varphi_{0n}\rangle$, on obtient

$$H_0 |1n\rangle + H_1 |\varphi_{0n}\rangle = E_{0n} |1n\rangle + E_{1n} |\varphi_{0n}\rangle$$

En prenant le produit scalaire avec $\langle \varphi_{0n}^i |$, on obtient

$$\langle \varphi_{0n}^i | \overline{H_0} | 1n \rangle + \langle \varphi_{0n}^i | H_1 | \varphi_{0n} \rangle = \overline{E_{0n}} \langle \varphi_{0n}^i | 1n \rangle + E_{1n} \langle \varphi_{0n}^i | \varphi_{0n} \rangle$$

On en déduit,

$$\langle \psi_{0n}^i | H_1 | \varphi_{0n} \rangle = E_{1n} \langle \psi_{0n}^i | \varphi_{0n} \rangle, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, g_n\} \quad (16)$$

Comme

$$|\varphi_{0n}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{g_n} |\psi_{0n}^j\rangle \langle \psi_{0n}^j | \varphi_{0n}\rangle$$

on a la relation,

$$H_1 |\varphi_{0n}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{g_n} H_1 |\psi_{0n}^j\rangle \langle \psi_{0n}^j | \varphi_{0n}\rangle$$

Et donc

$$\langle \psi_{0n}^i | H_1 | \varphi_{0n}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{g_n} \langle \psi_{0n}^i | H_1 | \psi_{0n}^j\rangle \langle \psi_{0n}^j | \varphi_{0n}\rangle$$

Avec (16) on a,

$$E_{1n} \langle \psi_{0n}^i | \varphi_{0n}\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{g_n} \langle \psi_{0n}^i | H_1 | \psi_{0n}^j\rangle \langle \psi_{0n}^j | \varphi_{0n}\rangle$$

Que l'on peut aussi écrire

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g_n} (\langle \psi_{0n}^i | H_1 | \psi_{0n}^j\rangle - E_{1n} \delta_{ij}) \langle \psi_{0n}^j | \varphi_{0n}\rangle = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, g_n\}$$

C'est une équation aux valeurs propres et vecteurs propres matricielles.

En calculant le polynôme caractéristique

$$\det (\langle \psi_{0n}^i | H_1 | \psi_{0n}^j\rangle - E_{1n} \delta_{ij}) = 0$$

On obtient les corrections à l'ordre 1 notées $E_{1n}^{(k)}$. Elles peuvent être dégénérées également.

Si les valeurs propres sont toutes non dégénérées, on dit que la dégénérescence est levée. Sinon on dit qu'elle est partiellement levée ou pas levée.

$$E^{(k)} = E_{0n} + \lambda E_{1n}^{(k)} = E_{0n} + \tilde{E}_{1n}^{(k)}$$

où $E_{1n}^{(k)}$ est issu de la diagonalisation de H_0 et $\tilde{E}_{1n}^{(k)}$ de la diagonalisation de $W = \lambda H_1$

Aux valeurs propre $E_{1n}^{(k)}$ correspondent des état spropres $\{|\varphi_{0n}^{(k)}\rangle\}$. Ils sont d'ordre zéro car ce sont des superpositions des état $|\psi_{0n}^i\rangle$, $i \in \{1, \dots, g_n\}$.

EXERCISE I : Stark effect in atomic Hydrogen

The Stark effect is the removal of degeneracy between energy levels in the presence of a constant electric field $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$. This field must be strong enough that we can neglect the fine structure effects, but not intense enough to ionize the atom, *i.e.*, $10^5 \text{ V/m} < \mathcal{E} < 10^7 \text{ V/m}$.

In the absence of an electric field, the Hamiltonian of the hydrogen atom is denoted as H_0 . In the presence of a field $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$ it is given by $H = H_0 + H_1$. We'll choose the z axis parallel to $\vec{\mathcal{E}}$. The interaction of the atom with the electric field is written by H_1 , which will be treated as a perturbation.

We remind you that the unperturbed energy levels of hydrogen are :

$$E_n^{(0)} = -\frac{E_I}{n^2}, \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where E_I is the ionisation energy : $E_I = \frac{e^2}{2a_0} = 1\mathcal{R} = 13.6 \text{ eV}$ (1 Rydberg). Here $e^2 = \frac{q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0}$ and $a_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_e e^2} \simeq 0.53 \text{ \AA}$ is the Bohr radius.

We also recall the expressions for the corresponding wavefunctions $\varphi_{n,l,m}$ ($0 \leq l \leq n-1, -l \leq m \leq +l$) :

- **1s state :**

$$\varphi_{1,0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi a_0^3}} e^{-r/a_0}$$

- **2s state :**

$$\varphi_{2,0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi a_0^3}} \left(1 - \frac{r}{2a_0}\right) e^{-r/2a_0}$$

- **2p state :**

$$\varphi_{2,1,+1} = -\frac{1}{8\sqrt{\pi a_0^3}} \frac{r}{a_0} e^{-r/2a_0} \sin\theta e^{+i\varphi} \quad \varphi_{2,1,0} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi a_0^3}} \frac{r}{a_0} e^{-r/2a_0} \cos\theta \quad \varphi_{2,1,-1} = +\frac{1}{8\sqrt{\pi a_0^3}} \frac{r}{a_0} e^{-r/2a_0} \sin\theta e^{-i\varphi}$$

1. Give the expression for H_1 (*hint : think of an atom as a pair of charges separated by distance r*).

For a classical electrical dipole its energy is given by $-\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}}$. In quantum mechanics, we will replace μ with its operator $\hat{\mu}$,

$$H_1 = -\hat{\mu} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{E}} = q\mathcal{E}\hat{z}$$

we can see it as a linear potential.

2. We are initially interested in the $n = 1$ level of hydrogen. Show that, within first order corrections, that the energy of the $|1s\rangle$ state is not modified.

Let $E^{(1)}$ be the energy correction of the first order perturbed state,

$$H_0 |\psi^{(1)}\rangle + H_1 |\psi^{(0)}\rangle = E^{(1)} |\psi^{(0)}\rangle + E^{(0)} |\psi^{(1)}\rangle$$

To find $E^{(1)}$, we can premultiply by $\langle\psi^{(0)}|$, and using

$$\langle\psi^{(0)}|\psi^{(0)}\rangle = 1 \qquad \langle\psi_j^{(0)}|\psi_k^{(0)}\rangle = \delta_{j,k}$$

but, be careful, since in general $|\psi^{(1)}\rangle \dots |\psi^{(n)}\rangle$ are not necessarily normal and orthogonal. But, we know that

$$\langle\psi^{(0)}|\psi^{(k)}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0$$

So we get,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(1)} &= \langle\psi^{(0)}| H_1 |\psi^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^3r \psi^{(0)*}(r) H_1 \psi^{(0)} \end{aligned}$$

But $\psi^{(0)} \equiv \varphi_{1,0,0}$ which is an even function. The integral of an odd function over all space is 0. So we get,

$$E^{(1)} = 0$$

3. Let $\vec{\mu} = q\vec{r}$ be the operator associated with the electric dipole moment, where \vec{r} is the operator associated with the position of the electron and q is its charge. Express the Hamiltonian H as a function of $\vec{\mu}$ and show that $\langle\psi_1|\mu_z|\psi_1\rangle = -\frac{dE_1(\mathcal{E})}{d\mathcal{E}}$. We denote $|\psi_1\rangle$ with energy $E_1(\mathcal{E})$ as the state obtained from the state $|1s\rangle$ following the application of the electric field, within first order perturbation theory.

$$\begin{cases} H(\mathcal{E}) = H_0 - \mu_z \mathcal{E} \\ H(\mathcal{E} + d\mathcal{E}) = H(\mathcal{E}) - \mu_z d\mathcal{E} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\mathcal{E} + d\mathcal{E}) &= \langle\psi_1| H(\mathcal{E} + d\mathcal{E}) |\psi_1\rangle \\ &= \langle\psi_1| H(\mathcal{E}) |\psi_1\rangle - \langle\psi_1| \mu_z d\mathcal{E} |\psi_1\rangle \\ &= E_1(\mathcal{E}) - \langle\psi_1| \mu_z |\psi_1\rangle d\mathcal{E} \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\langle\psi_1| \mu_z |\psi_1\rangle = -\frac{d}{d\mathcal{E}} E_1(\mathcal{E})$$

4. Show that $E_1(\mathcal{E}) = E_1^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mathcal{E}^2$, where α represents the polarisability of the hydrogen atom.

We remind ourselves,

$$H_0 |\psi^{(1)}\rangle + H_1 |\psi^{(0)}\rangle = E^{(0)} |\psi^{(1)}\rangle + E^{(1)} |\psi^{(0)}\rangle \quad (17)$$

with,

$$\langle \psi^{(0)} | \psi^{(k)} \rangle = 0 \text{ for } k > 0$$

We premultiply (17) by $\langle \psi^{(0)} |$

$$\langle \psi^{(0)} | H_0 | \psi^{(1)} \rangle + \langle \psi^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi^{(0)} \rangle = E^{(0)} \langle \psi^{(0)} | \psi^{(1)} \rangle + E^{(1)} \langle \psi^{(0)} | \psi^{(0)} \rangle$$

And so we get,

$$E^{(1)} = \langle \psi^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi^{(0)} \rangle$$

But, $H_1 = -\hat{\mu}\mathcal{E}$, and so we get $E^{(1)} = 0$ as shown in question 2.

We would like to use the identity operator (so-called the completeness relation)

$$\hat{1} = \sum_{\phi} |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|$$

$$\hat{1} H_1 |\psi^{(0)}\rangle = \sum_{\phi \neq \psi} |\phi^{(0)}\rangle \langle \phi^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi_0 \rangle$$

$$E^{(1)} = \langle \psi^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi^{(0)} \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi^{(1)}\rangle &= \sum_{\phi \neq \psi} \frac{\langle \phi^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi^{(0)} \rangle}{E_{\psi}^{(0)} - E_{\phi}^{(0)}} |\phi^{(0)}\rangle \\ &= -\mathcal{E} \sum_{nlm, n>1} \frac{\langle nlm | \mu_z | 1s \rangle}{E_1^{(0)} - E_{nlm}^{(0)}} |nlm\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}^2) \end{aligned}$$

So we plug this expression in the expression of $E^{(2)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)} &= \langle \psi^{(0)} | H_1 | \psi^{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{E}^2 \sum_{nlm, n>1} \frac{\langle nlm | \mu_z | 1s \rangle}{E_1^{(0)} - E_{nlm}^{(0)}} |nlm\rangle \langle 1s | \mu_z | nlm \rangle \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} \mathcal{E}^2 \end{aligned}$$

with α the electric polarisability, an always positive quantity,

$$\alpha = -2 \sum_{nlm, n > 1} \frac{|\langle nlm | \mu_z | 1s \rangle|^2}{E_1^{(0)} - E_{nlm}^{(0)}}$$

5. Assuming that $|E_1^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)}|$ is of the order of 1 atomic unit ($1\mathcal{R}$) for all n , show that $\alpha = \frac{2}{\mathcal{R}} \langle 1s | \mu_z^2 | 1s \rangle$.

We can explicitly write the $|\langle | \rangle|^2$ quantity,

$$\alpha \approx \frac{2}{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{nlm, n>1} \langle 1s | \mu_z | nlm \rangle \langle nlm | \mu_z | 1s \rangle$$

it does look like a completeness relation, but we need the sum to start for $n > 0$, but we know that $\langle 1s | \mu_z | 1s \rangle = 0$, so starting at $n > 0$ or $n > 1$ is the same, so

$$\alpha \approx \frac{2}{\mathcal{R}} \langle 1s | \mu_z^2 | 1s \rangle$$

6. Give the expression for α as a function of a_0 and compare to the experimentally determined value : $\alpha_{\text{exp.}} = 6.6 \times 10^{-31} \text{ m}^3$.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\approx \frac{2}{\mathcal{R}} \langle 1s | \mu_z^2 | 1s \rangle \\ &= \frac{2e^2}{\mathcal{R}} \langle 1s | z^2 | 1s \rangle \\ &= \frac{2e^2}{\mathcal{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d^3r |\varphi_{100}|^2 z^2 \\ &= \frac{2e^2}{\mathcal{R}} a_0^2 \\ &= 4a_0^3 \\ &= 6 \times 10^{-31} \text{ m}^3 \end{aligned}$$

7. We now focus on the $n = 2$ level. Show using first order degenerate state perturbation theory that the electric field lifts the degeneracy of the state.

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \chi_i |\phi_i^{(0)}\rangle$$

And so,

$$(H_0 + H_1) |\psi\rangle = (E^{(0)} + E^{(1)} + \dots) |\psi\rangle$$

we pre-multiply by $\langle \phi_j |$,

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \phi_j | H_0 + H_1 | \phi_i \rangle \chi_i = (E^{(0)} + E^{(1)}) \chi_j$$

$$\sum_{n'l'm'} [\langle nlm | H_0 | n'l'm' \rangle + \langle nlm | q\mathcal{E}z | n'l'm' \rangle] \chi_{n'l'm'} = (E^{(0)} + E^{(1)}) \chi_{nlm}$$

$$\cancel{E^{(0)} \chi_{nlm}} + \sum_{n'l'm'} \langle nlm | q\mathcal{E}z | n'l'm' \rangle \chi_{n'l'm'} = \cancel{E^{(0)} \chi_{nlm}} + E^{(1)} \chi_{nlm}$$

We can express as a matrix,

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3q\mathcal{E}a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3q\mathcal{E}a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix column are $(|200\rangle, |211\rangle, |210\rangle, |21-1\rangle)$. There is lot's of zeros because of selection rules,

$$|211\rangle \propto e^{i\varphi} \implies \int_0^\pi d\varphi e^{\pm\varphi} = 0$$

8. Provide expressions for the eigenenergies and eigenvectors associated with each sublevel.

We want to diagonalize the matrix,

$$\det(H - \lambda\hat{1}) = 0$$

Thus,

$$\begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 3q\mathcal{E}a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 3q\mathcal{E}a_0 & 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus it is just the diagonalization of two 2×2 matrices,

$$\begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 3q\mathcal{E}a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 3q\mathcal{E}a_0 & 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^2 (\lambda^2 - (3q\mathcal{E}a_0)^2) = 0$$

and we get,

$$\lambda_1 = +3q\mathcal{E}a_0 \quad \lambda_2 = -3q\mathcal{E}a_0 \quad \lambda_3 = 0 \quad \lambda_4 = 0$$

And so the eigenvectors,

$$(H - \lambda\hat{1})X = 0$$

We get,

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|200\rangle + |210\rangle) \quad X_X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-|200\rangle + |210\rangle) \quad X_3 = |211\rangle \quad X_4 = |21-1\rangle$$

EXERCISE II : Two-dimensional harmonic oscillator

A particle with mass m , subject to displacement in the x - y plane, is described the Hamiltonian :

$$H_0 = \frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{p_y^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}k(x^2 + y^2) \quad k > 0$$

We wish to study the effect of a perturbation $W = \alpha xy$ with $\alpha \ll k$.

1. Recalling the results from the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator, express without calculation, the eigenvalues of H_0 and their possible degree of degeneracy, as well as the corresponding eigenvectors.

$$|n_x, n_y\rangle = |n_x\rangle \otimes |n_y\rangle$$

$$H_0 = H_x + H_y$$

$$\begin{cases} H_x |n_x\rangle = \hbar\omega \left(n_x + \frac{1}{2} \right) |n_x\rangle \\ H_y |n_y\rangle = \hbar\omega \left(n_y + \frac{1}{2} \right) |n_y\rangle \end{cases}$$

Meaning,

$$H_0 |n_x, n_y\rangle = (n_x + n_y + 1) |n_x, n_y\rangle$$

Thus,

$$E_{n_x, n_y} = \hbar\omega(n_x + n_y + 1)$$

The eigenenergies are $n + 1$ fold degenerate.

2. Using time-independent perturbation theory, determine the effect of W on the first excited level of H_0 . It is sufficient to limit ourselves to the first order for the energies and zeroth order for the state vectors.

For the first excited level, there is two degenerate level : $|10\rangle$ and $|01\rangle$, meaning we can write the matrix (W),

$$(W) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle 10 | \hat{W} | 10 \rangle & \langle 10 | \hat{W} | 01 \rangle \\ \langle 01 | \hat{W} | 10 \rangle & \langle 01 | \hat{W} | 01 \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

where the off-diagonal terms stands for coupling.

Reminder : for the harmonic oscillator,

$$|0_\rho\rangle = \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} e^{-\beta\rho^2/2} \quad |1_\rho\rangle = \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \sqrt{2\beta} e^{-\beta\rho^2/2}$$

were $\rho = x, y$ here, and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} = a_{\text{HO}} \quad \beta = \frac{m\omega}{\hbar}$$

So, we can see that

$$|10\rangle \propto x e^{-x^2} e^{-y^2} \quad |01\rangle \propto y e^{-x^2} e^{-y^2}$$

So, straight away we know that the diagonal terms vanish, *i.e.*,

$$\langle 10 | \hat{W} | 10 \rangle = \langle 01 | \hat{W} | 01 \rangle = 0$$

with the same argument made before, the integration of an odd function over all space is 0.

So now we can perform the calculation for the off-diagonal terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 10 | \hat{W} | 01 \rangle &= \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx dy \left[\left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \sqrt{2\beta} x e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2)/2} \right] \alpha xy \left[\left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \sqrt{2\beta} y e^{-\beta(x^2+y^2)/2} \right] \\ &= \frac{2\beta^2}{\pi} \alpha \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx x^2 e^{-\beta x^2} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2\beta^2}{\pi} \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\beta^{3/2}} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Meaning, the perturbation matrix is

$$(W) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha/2\beta \\ \alpha/2\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \pm \frac{\alpha}{2\beta} = \pm \frac{\alpha\hbar}{2m\omega}$$

And the associated eigenenergies,

$$E_{\pm} = 2\hbar\omega \pm \frac{\alpha\hbar}{2m\omega}$$

And the eigenstates,

$$|\psi_{\pm}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|10\rangle \pm |01\rangle)$$

Remark : there is an other approach to compute the matrix elements without doing any integrals, we define the ladder operators,

$$\hat{a} |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle, \quad \hat{a}^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle$$

Where the operator \hat{a} is so-called the "annihilation" operator and \hat{a}^\dagger the "creation" operator.

So we can express x and y with them,

$$x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta}}(a_x + a_x^\dagger) \quad y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta}}(a_y + a_y^\dagger)$$

So,

$$\hat{W} = \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(a_x + a_x^\dagger)(a_y + a_y^\dagger)$$

And so,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W} |10\rangle &= \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(a_x + a_x^\dagger) |1_x\rangle \otimes (a_y + a_y^\dagger) |0_y\rangle \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(|0_x\rangle + \sqrt{2}|2_x\rangle) \otimes |1_y\rangle \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(|01\rangle + \sqrt{2}|21\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

Meaning that,

$$\langle 01 | \hat{W} | 10 \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}(\langle 01 | 01 \rangle + \sqrt{2} \langle 01 | 21 \rangle) = \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}$$

Doing that for the 4 matrix elements allows us to compute the perturbation matrix.

3. Compare the eigenenergies to the exact solution obtained by transforming $H_0 + W$ according to the substitution $x \rightarrow \frac{X+Y}{\sqrt{2}}$, $y \rightarrow \frac{X-Y}{\sqrt{2}}$. Note that the potential energy $U(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}k(x^2 + y^2) + \alpha xy$ can be put in the form : $U(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}k_1X^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_2Y^2$, where k_1, k_2 are constants to be specified.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}k(x^2 + y^2) + \alpha xy &\longrightarrow \frac{k}{4}(X^2 + 2XY + Y^2 + X^2 - 2XY + Y^2) + \frac{\alpha}{2}(X^2 - Y^2) \\ &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}k_1X^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_2Y^2 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$k_1 = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{k}\right) \quad k_2 = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{k}\right)$$

We know

$$\hat{p}_x = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$$

So,

$$\frac{d}{dx} = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x} \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right]$$

Meaning,

$$\hat{p}_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\hat{p}_X + \hat{p}_Y] \quad \hat{p}_y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\hat{p}_X - \hat{p}_Y]$$

So our hamiltonian is now,

$$\hat{H} = \frac{p_X^2}{2m} + \frac{p_Y^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}k_1X^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_2Y^2$$

And our eigenenergies,

$$E_{n_x, n_y} = \hbar\Omega_X \left(n_x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \hbar\Omega_Y \left(n_y + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

With

$$\Omega_X = \sqrt{\frac{k + \alpha}{m}} \quad \Omega_Y = \sqrt{\frac{k - \alpha}{m}}$$

We can see that now there is a broken symmetry; indeed $\Omega_X \neq \Omega_Y$ when $\alpha \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} E_{00} &= \frac{\hbar}{2} (\Omega_X + \Omega_Y) \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha}{m\omega^2}} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha}{m\omega^2}} \right) \\ &\approx \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{m\omega^2} + 1 - \frac{\alpha}{m\omega^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The first order ground-state is unperturbed.

$$\begin{aligned} E_{01} &= \frac{\hbar}{2} (\Omega_X + 3\Omega_Y) \\ &\approx \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2m\omega^2} + 3 - \frac{3\alpha}{2m\omega^2} \right) \\ &= 2\hbar\omega - \frac{\alpha\hbar}{2m\omega} \end{aligned}$$

$$E_{10} = 2\hbar\omega + \frac{\alpha\hbar}{2m\omega}$$